

PATENT

LUD-PT007

September 12, 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Our File:

Date:

In the **PATENT APPLICATION** of:

Loher et al.

Application No.:

08/849,746

Confirmation No: 4225

Filed:

September 5, 1997

For: PROCESS FOR MANUFACTURING

COMPONENTS MADE OF FIBER-REINFORCED THERMO-PLASTIC

MATERIALS

Group:

1732

Examiner:

S. Staicovici

REPLY BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Briefs-Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This Brief is submitted within two months of the mailing date of the Examiner's Answer filed on July 12, 2005.

This Reply addresses one issue in the Answer, it being understood that the

Applicant's positions were previously set forth in its original Appeal Brief, and the

Answer, for the most part, repeats arguments made during prosecution.

The Answer twice argues that the claims are "drawn to an extrusion type

process and not an injection type process." See pages 25 and 26, Paragraphs

(10)(B)(a) and (10)(C)(a). In support, the Answer cites the application paragraph

 $[0059].^{1}$

Independent claims 1 and 2 recite two features that set them apart from an

extrusion process:

pressing said heated blank into the negative mold using a pressing

head that travels at a speed of 2mm/sec to 80 mm/sec, and

shaping the blank in the negative mold by virtue of the entire blank flowing from the heating stage into and filling up the negative mold.

These features, particularly placing the blank in a negative mold and filling

up same, would not be shown or suggested by an extrusion process, and particular

not in the composite sheets (U.S. Patent No. 4,356,228 to Kabayashi) and non-

medical (U.S. Patent Nos. 4,662,887 and 5,156,558 to Turner and Marcune) prior

art.

Claim 7, which depends from claim 1, recites a push-pull process, and despite

the name of the process as "push-pull extrusion," the process involves an injection

process that uses two units to push and pull thermoplastic through the mold. This

2

Applicant: Loher et al.

Application No.: 09/849,746

push-pull process is different from traditional extrusion in that it uses injectors

within a negative mold to push and pull the thermoplastic through the mold. Thus,

the art of traditional extrusion cannot be combined to teach the elements of the

injection and push-pull process claims. Moreover, the "pulling" feature is entirely

absent from the prior art cited against the claims, and thus the prior art does not

show the claimed push-pull process.

For the above reasons, Applicants submit that the pending claims are

patentable over the prior art. Reconsideration and allowance of the claims is

respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Loher et al.

Stephen B. Schott

Registration No. 51,294

 $(215)\ 568-6400$

Volpe and Koenig, P.C. United Plaza, Suite 1600 30 South 17th Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

RJH/SBS/tab

¹ This is Paragraph [0059] in corresponding Publication No. 2003/0057590.

PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Application Number TRADEM 08/849.746 TRANSMITTAL Filing Date September 5, 1997 **FORM** First Named Inventor Loher et al. Art Unit 1732 **Examiner Name** S. Staicovici (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number LUD-PT007 Total Number of Pages in This Submission **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowance Communication to TC Fee Transmittal Form Drawing(s) Appeal Communication to Board Licensing-related Papers Fee Attached of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC Petition (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Amendment/Reply Petition to Convert to a After Final Proprietary Information **Provisional Application** Power of Attorney, Revocation Status Letter Affidavits/declaration(s) Change of Correspondence Address Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify **Terminal Disclaimer Extension of Time Request** below): Request for Refund **Express Abandonment Request** CD, Number of CD(s) Information Disclosure Statement Landscape Table on CD Certified Copy of Priority Remarks Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Signature

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING Thereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Appeal Briefs-Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:			
Typed or printed name	STEPHEN B. SCHOTT	Date	Septmeber 12, 2005

Reg. No.

51.294

Printed name

Date

STEPHEN B

Septmeber 12, 2005

'S¢HOTT

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.